



investment architecture

SVS Sanlam European Equity Fund

Assessment of Value Report

For the year end

15 May 2025

Assessment of Value Process

As part of a move to strengthen fund governance, the FCA requires Authorised Fund Managers (AFMs) such as Tutman Fund Solutions Limited ('TFSL')¹ to carry out and publish an annual Assessment of Value (AOV) Report for each of the funds that they control.

The report outlines each fund's assessment and concludes whether the TFSL Board believes that the fund's payments are justified in the context of the overall value delivered to investors. The report also explains the corrective action required in the event of the Board deciding that the fund does not offer value for investors.

The TFSL Board, whose chair is a Non-Executive Director (NED) and includes Independent Non-Executive Directors (INED), must ensure that the AFM carries out the FCA assessment and acts in the best interests of the investors.

TFSL believes that the AOV process provides greater transparency and ultimately provides better outcomes for investors. To support the ongoing evolution of our AOV reporting, TFSL had sought additional guidance from the Funds Board Council to review and strengthen our process.

The TFSL AOV Committee consists of our INEDs, Executive Directors and members of the Leadership Team (including the Head of ACD Services), the Head of Funds Compliance and members of the AOV team (as presenters) and Client Service Management (as observers) to ensure a collaborative independent approach.

The published AOV report, which follows the seven criteria set out by the FCA, is the result of a rigorous review process. This process includes a review by a dedicated TFSL Investment Committee, whose main focus is to review the performance of the fund, plus a full review by the Assessment of Value Committee which reviews the completed assessment, and the data used to support all conclusions. TFSL uses third-party systems to ensure that comparative data is relevant and up to date. At the end of each section, TFSL awards a Red, Amber or Green (RAG) status to determine the assessment for each fund.

¹ Formerly Evelyn Partners Fund Solutions Limited (EPFL).

Background

In line with the provisions contained within COLL 6.6.20R, the Board of Tutman Fund Solutions Limited ('TFSL') as Authorised Fund Manager ('AFM'), has carried out an Assessment of Value for SVS Sanlam European Equity Fund ('the Trust'). Furthermore, the rules require that TFSL publishes these assessments.

On reviewing this Assessment of Value report, we would welcome feedback from investors via our short questionnaire which can be found online.









<https://www.tutman.co.uk/literature/>

Investors' views are invaluable to the development and delivery of this report.




Should you be unable to access the questionnaire online please contact us directly on 0141 222 1151 and we will provide you with a paper copy of the questionnaire.

Introduction

A high-level summary of the outcome of TFSL’s rigorous review of the Trust for the year ended 15 May 2025, using the seven criteria set by the FCA is set out below:

1. Quality of Service		
2. Performance		
3. AFM Costs		
4. Economies of Scale		
5. Comparable Market Rates		
6. Comparable Services		
7. Classes of Units		
Overall Rating		

TFSL has adopted a traffic light system to show how it rated the Trust:

-  On balance, the Board believes the Trust has delivered value to investors, with no material issues noted.
-  On balance, the Board believes the Trust has delivered value to investors, but may require some action.
-  On balance, the Board believes the Trust has not delivered value to investors and significant remedial action is now planned by the Board.

How TFSL assessed each of the seven criteria and the resulting rating are discussed in greater detail on the following pages.

TFSL has created an Assessment of Value Committee ('AVC'), for the review, challenge and approval of all the funds' Assessments of Value. Ultimately the assessments will be subject to scrutiny by the Board (which includes independent directors) to ensure the outcomes of the assessments are clear and fair, prior to communicating to investors if the Trust has delivered value, and if not, where improvements need to be made.

In carrying out the assessment, the TFSL AVC has separately considered, the following seven criteria stipulated by the FCA. The Committee may also have considered other issues where it was deemed appropriate.

TFSL believes the Assessment of Value can make it easier for investors to both evaluate whether the Trust is providing them with value for money and make more informed decisions when choosing investments.

The seven criteria are:

- (1) Quality of service – the quality of every aspect of the service provided, including, for example, accounting, administration, customer services and communications;
- (2) Performance – how the Trust performed, including whether it met targets and objectives, kept to relevant policy, followed relevant principles and kept to reasonable timescales;
- (3) AFM/ACD costs – the fairness and value of the Trust’s costs, including entry and exit fees, early redemption fees and administration charges;
- (4) Economies of scale – how costs have been or can be reduced as a result of increased assets-under-management (‘AUM’), and whether or not those savings have been passed on to investors;
- (5) Comparable market rates – how the costs of the Trust compare with others in the marketplace;
- (6) Comparable services – how the charges applied to the Trust compare with those of other funds administered by TFSL;
- (7) Classes of units – the appropriateness of the classes of units in the Trust for investors.

Section 1: Quality of Service

What was assessed in this section?

Internal Factors

TFSL, as AFM, has overall responsibility for the Trust. The Board assessed, amongst other things: the day-to-day administration of the Trust; the maintenance of scheme documentation (such as prospectuses and key investor information documents ('KIIDs')); the pricing and valuation of units; the calculation of income and distribution payments; the maintenance of accounting and other records; the preparation of annual audited and half-yearly Report & Accounts; the review of tax provisions and submission of tax computations to HMRC; the maintenance of the register of investors; the dealing and settlement arrangements; and the quality of marketing material sent to investors. TFSL delegates the investment management of the Trust to a delegated investment management firm.

The Board reviewed information provided by TFSL's control functions on the adequacy of its internal services, including governance, operations and monitoring. Elements important to the investors' experience such as the timely payment of settlement and distribution monies were also reviewed. Over the past year, TFSL has been audited by internal and external auditors, the Trustee and various TFSL delegated investment managers.

External Factors

The Board assessed the delegate's skills, processes and experience. Also considered were any results from service review meetings as well as the annual due diligence performed by TFSL on the delegated investment manager, Sanlam Investments (UK) Limited, ('Sanlam')², where consideration was given to, amongst other things, the delegate's controls around the Trust's liquidity management.

The Board also considered the nature, extent and quality of administrative and investor services performed under separate agreements covering trustee services, custody, as well as services provided with regard to both audit and legal functions.

² The investment manager of the Trust was sub-delegated by Sanlam to Landseer Asset Management (UK) LLP ('Landseer') on 13 June 2024. From the 31 May 2025, Landseer replaced Sanlam as the investment manager of the scheme.

What was the outcome of the assessment?

Internal Factors

The Board recognised that all distribution and settlement monies were paid in a timely manner and that there were no significant findings as a result of the various audits performed on TFSL during the year. In addition, TFSL has performed its own independent analysis, using automated systems, of the Trust's liquidity. The Board concluded that TFSL had carried out its duties diligently.

External Factors

The Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the external parties have benefitted and should continue to benefit the Trust and its investors.

Were there any follow up actions?

There were no follow-up actions required.

Section 2: Performance

What was assessed in this section?

The Board reviewed the performance of the Trust, after the deduction of all payments out of the scheme property as set out in the Prospectus. Performance, against its benchmark, was considered over appropriate timescales having regard to the Trust's investment objective, policy and strategy. The Board also considered whether an appropriate level of market risk had been taken.

Investment Objectives

The Trust seeks to achieve long term capital growth over a period of at least 5 years.

Benchmark

As AFM, TFSL is required to explain in a fund's scheme documentation why a benchmark is being used or alternatively, explain how investors The benchmarks for the Trust are the MSCI Europe ex UK Index and the IA Europe excluding UK Sector which are comparators. A 'comparator' benchmark is an index or similar factor against which an investment manager

invites investors to compare a fund's performance. Details of how the Trust had performed against its comparator benchmarks over various timescales can be found below.

Cumulative Performance (%)

Cumulative Performance as at 31/ 05/ 2025

Instrument	Currency	1 year	3 years	5 years	29/ 01/ 2021 to 12/ 06/ 2024 (Sanlam)	13/ 06/ 2024 to 31/ 05/ 2025 (Landseer)
IA Europe ex UK	GBP	5.99	30.99	61.36	31.70	6.94
MSCI Europe ex UK	GBP	6.27	34.10	64.77	37.62	7.57
SVS Sanlam European Equity Fund B Inc	GBP	3.56	31.94	53.90	26.97	3.32

The Trust was managed by Sanlam between 29/01/2021 and 12/06/2024 and sub-delegated to Landseer from 13/06/2024. From 31 July 2025, Landseer replaced Sanlam as the investment manager of the Trust.

Data provided by FE fundinfo. Care has been taken to ensure that the information is correct but it neither warrants, represents nor guarantees the contents of the information, nor does it accept any responsibility for errors, inaccuracies, omissions or any inconsistencies herein.

Performance shown is representative of all unit classes.
Performance is calculated net of fees.
Past performance is not a guide to future performance.

What was the outcome of the assessment?

The Board assessed the performance of the Trust over its minimum recommended holding period of five years to 31 May 2025 and observed that it had underperformed its comparator benchmarks, the MSCI Europe ex UK Index and the IA Europe excluding UK Sector. The Board also noted that the Trust had underperformed both comparator benchmarks in the period since Sanlam took on the management of the Trust on 29 January 2021 and also in the short period since management of the Trust was sub-delegated to Landseer.

TFSL assessed the investment risk within the Trust, focusing amongst other things on volatility and risk adjusted returns. The Board concluded that the level of investment risk is appropriate, within its mandated parameters, without taking excessive risk for an actively managed Trust.

The Board found that the Trust is investing in the asset classes permitted by the investment policy and that there have been no breaches of the policy in the last 12 months.

As a result of the above analysis, the Trust was given an Amber rating.

Were there any follow up actions?

TFSL will continue to monitor performance through its normal oversight process, at least bi-annually.

Section 3: AFM/ACD Costs

What was assessed in this section?

The Board reviewed each separate charge to ensure that they were reasonable and reflected the services provided. This included investment management fees, the Annual Management Charge ('AMC'), trustee, custody and audit fees.

The charges should be transparent and understandable to the investors, with no hidden costs.

What was the outcome of the assessment?

The Board received and considered information about each of the Trust's costs, and concluded that they were fair, reasonable and were provided on a competitive basis.

Were there any follow up actions?

There were no follow-up actions required.

Section 4: Economies of Scale

What was assessed in this section?

The Board reviewed each separate fee structure and the AUM of the Trust to examine the effect on potential and existing investors should the Trust increase or decrease in value.

What was the outcome of the assessment?

The investment management fee is capped however the AFM fee is tiered meaning there are opportunities for savings going forward should the Trust grow in size.

The ancillary charges³ of the Trust represent 15 basis points⁴. Some of these costs are fixed and as the Trust grows in size, may result in a small reduction in the basis point cost of these services.

³ Ancillary charge is any charge paid directly out of the sub-fund in addition to the AMC, e.g., Auditor, Custodian or Depositary fees.

⁴ One **basis point** is equal to 1/100th of 1%, or 0.01%. Figure calculated at annual reporting date, 15 May 2025.

Were there any follow up actions?

There were no follow-up actions required.

Section 5: Comparable Market Rates

What was assessed in this section?

The Board reviewed the Ongoing Charges Figure of the Trust, ('OCF'), and how those charges affect its returns.

The OCF of the Trust was compared against the 'market rate' of similar external funds.

What was the outcome of the assessment?

The OCF of 0.79%⁵ compared favourably with those of similar externally managed funds.

Note that there is not a performance fee, and that TFSL has not charged an entry fee, exit fee or any other event-based fees on this Trust.

Were there any follow up actions?

There were no follow-up actions required.

Section 6: Comparable Services

What was assessed in this section?

The Board sought to compare the Trust's investment management fee with those of other funds administered by TFSL and of equivalent size, investment objectives and policies.

What was the outcome of the assessment?

There were no other TFSL administered Trusts displaying similar characteristics with which to make a meaningful comparison.

⁵ Figures at annual reporting date, 15 May 2025.

Were there any follow up actions?

There were no follow-up actions required.

Section 7: Classes of Units**What was assessed in this section?**

The Board reviewed the Trust's set-up to ensure that where there are multiple unit classes, direct investors are in the correct share class given the size of their holding.

What was the outcome of the assessment?

There is only one unit class in the Trust and therefore, this part of the assessment does not apply.

Were there any follow up actions?

There were no follow-up actions required.

Overall Assessment of Value

Notwithstanding the matter referenced above in Section 2, the Board concluded that SVS Sanlam European Equity Fund had provided value to investors.