



investment architecture

Thesis Lion Growth Fund

# Assessment of Value Report

For the year ended  
30 April 2023

Thesis Unit Trust Management Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

## Fund Information

---

<b>Fund objective:</b>	The Scheme aims to provide a total return (with a combination of income and growth of capital), net of fees, over rolling 5 year periods. There is no guarantee that this return will be achieved over any period and capital is at risk.
<b>Fund size:</b>	£55,779,016.59
<b>Performance comparator:</b>	IA Mixed Investment 40-85% Shares

---

## Assessment of Value

The Board of the Authorised Fund Manager (AFM) is required to perform an annual Assessment of Value for each unit class within the fund and to report its findings to investors.

The Assessment of Value places a responsibility on the AFM to determine whether the fees and charges of the fund are justified in the context of the overall service and value provided to investors.

Following the introduction of the Assessment of Value requirement in 2019, we appointed two independent non-executive directors with a particular remit to oversee and challenge the Assessment of Value process conducted in relation to the fund.

In this Assessment of Value report, we have used a traffic light system whereby:



**The fund has delivered value to investors**



**The fund has delivered some value to investors but action is needed to improve value delivery**



**The fund has not delivered value to investors and action is needed before value will be delivered**

---

## Independent AFM model – terminology

The AFM has the regulatory responsibility for all aspects of the fund operations including the actions of its delegates. Its role requires a focus on good investor outcomes, investor protection, oversight, compliance and general regulatory matters.

The Investment Manager is appointed by the AFM and has the full discretion to manage the assets of the portfolio in accordance with the fund's objective and investment policy. The Investment Manager is the entity which delivers out- or under-performance on behalf of investors. It is the entity which in turn receives the greatest share of the Annual Management Charge.

The Investment Manager and the AFM can be part of the same organisation or separate. When they are separate the fund can be described as having an Independent AFM which is the case with Thesis Lion Growth Fund.

## Background to our firm – Independent AFM

Thesis Unit Trust Management Limited is a specialist provider of independent AFM services. Our approach to delivering good outcomes for investors in our funds, from the initial design phase and throughout the fund life cycle, is based upon having the right blend of experience, culture and governance.

We choose to work with a variety of service providers (fund accountants, depositaries, transfer agents and auditors) and provide independent, bespoke and flexible solutions. By outsourcing day-to-day activity to specialist providers our teams can focus on investor outcomes, risk management, oversight, product governance and regulatory change.

We delegate fund servicing to a panel of reputable institutions. Consequently, we have an informed view of this part of the supply chain. We benchmark those fund servicers against a range of criteria including service and cost. We build close relationships with our delegates at all levels within our respective organisations allowing for effective escalation when appropriate and always with the best interests of investors in mind. We are also able to change a service provider with relative ease as and when required to improve quality of service, reduce cost or for other strategic or operational reasons.

We delegate investment management to a wide range of firms who utilise different strategies and investment techniques. As such we have a broad and informed view of the investment management marketplace and independently benchmark across a range of criteria including service, performance and costs. Coming from a fund management background we have qualified investment managers and research analysts within our AFM business who have the experience to monitor fund performance and challenge third party investment managers on behalf of investors in the funds.

Thesis Lion Growth Fund was established to meet the unique requirements of a certain group of investor(s) and, whilst the fund remains open to investment by all, it is not proactively promoted more widely. Whilst the AFM has made certain comparisons to other funds that it operates on a similar basis, it has not made direct comparisons to other actively marketed funds. This is because this fund by its very nature will have certain different and valuable features:

- It has the flexibility to be managed in accordance with a wider investment policy and to have more than one Investment Manager.
- The AFM and Investment Manager(s) will typically offer additional and tailored services to meet the individual requirements of the investor(s) including reporting and meetings.
- The fund is not managed to track, target or be constrained by a specific benchmark and may perform differently to other funds under certain market conditions.

In the absence of a relevant benchmark, the IA Mixed Investment 40-85% Shares is the comparator set out in the prospectus to review the past performance of the fund. Any historic under- or out-performance over different periods has therefore been reviewed against a backdrop of the above and having due consideration to the bespoke nature of the fund and the objectives of its investors.

# Overall Assessment of Value

Following our assessment, the board of Thesis Unit Trust Management Limited has concluded its assessment of value for each unit class as follows:

Unit class	Independent AFM service & costs	Service provider service & costs	Investment manager service & costs	Quality of service	Performance	Costs	Economies of scale	Comparable market rates	Comparable services	Classes of shares	Overall
Thesis Lion Growth Acc	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	
Thesis Lion Growth Inc	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	



## Independent AFM – service and costs

In assessing the quality and cost of our independent AFM service we have concluded that we have delivered a service that meets the requirements of investors in the funds, and the fund sponsor, and which has delivered value.

Examples of the criteria that we considered included the operation and compliance of the fund (including the number of errors and investor complaints), the results from the depositary’s inspection and audit of our AFM arrangements, and other factors such as the implementation of regulatory change at no cost to investors.

We judge whether our AFM fee is competitive and can be justified based on feedback from independent consultants, our clients who select us and when existing funds move to us from our competitors. Our fees are tiered thereby providing economies of scale for investors as the fund value increases.



## Service provider service and costs

Having separately assessed the quality and cost of the outsourced fund service providers we have concluded that services have met the requirements expected and delivered value to investors.

Examples of the criteria that we considered included Key Performance Indicators in the key areas including pricing, delivery of statements, delivery of report and accounts, and investor complaints.

We have assessed that the service providers’ fees are competitive and provide value to investors by benchmarking against the other service providers on our panel. The majority of fees are tiered thereby providing a mechanism for economies of scale as the fund value increases.

---

## Investment manager service and costs

---

It is our judgement that the experience of investors in the fund and an assessment of whether the fund has delivered value is most directly impacted by the services, performance and costs that are attributable to the Investment Manager. Further details of our value assessment, with a particular focus on the Investment Manager, are shown below:



### Quality of service

---

*The range and quality of service delivered by the Investment Manager including the experience of the team, track record, quality of relationship with our AFM team, and the results from our governance and oversight arrangements*

We monitor the Investment Manager on a daily basis, and maintain frequent contact with them on a range of aspects of their work including adherence with the investment mandate and policy, liquidity management, and fair value pricing as well as regulatory compliance. We have more formal contact quarterly and further periodic reviews on a thematic basis.

We are satisfied that the Investment Manager continues to meet our requirements and provides a good quality of service.



### Performance

---

*Whether the fund has provided good performance, net of fees, in relation to its investment objective. We have considered performance against the fund's benchmark and/or appropriate peer group, compliance with investment policy, volatility and liquidity, and any evidence of closet tracking.*

*Volatility is a measure of the variability of return from the investment over a past period of time. A higher number indicates a wider variety of outcomes, positive or negative, versus expectation and can therefore be indicative of risk.*

The fund has underperformed against its comparator over 1 and 3 years and outperformed over 5 years. As the independent AFM we have monitored and discussed the position with the Investment Manager and will continue to do so. The fund is being actively managed in accordance with the investment objective and policy and positive returns have been delivered over 3 and 5 years. The fund has been managed on a higher investment risk basis than the majority of its peer group over 1, 3 and 5 years.

Class/Comparator	1 year performance (%)	3 year performance (%)	5 year performance (%)
Thesis Lion Growth Acc	-9.03	13.20	35.26
IA Mixed Investment 40-85% Shares	-1.81	19.14	19.35

\* Income units have the same underlying performance, but income is paid out or re-invested. For performance measurement purposes we show Accumulation units only.



## Costs

*Whether the charges are reasonable compared with the costs of providing the service (considering factors such as the size of the Investment Manager, its balance sheet strength and profit margins).*

At a fund size of £55,779,016.59 we are satisfied that the costs charged to the fund are reasonable given its size and a holistic assessment of the benefits that accrue to its investors.



## Economies of Scale

*Is the fund or the Investment Manager of a size or scale where economies of scale should be passed on to investors.*

In view of the fund's size, we do not believe that there are economies of scale available yet to be passed back to the fund. However, we will discuss the feasibility of a tiered fee schedule with the investment manager in order that economies of scale are passed on should the fund grow sufficiently.



## Comparable market rates

*Whether the charges are comparable with similar funds in this sector of the market.*

As an independent AFM we operate multiple funds. We appoint different service providers and a wide range of Investment Managers and can readily benchmark fees. It is our assessment that the OCF of the fund is higher than the OCF of other similar funds in the market. Similar funds are defined as those in the same sector and of a similar size to this fund. An annual management charge rebate amounting to 0.20% of year end assets was paid into the fund during the period, which effectively reduced the overall expenses paid by the fund but is not deducted from the OCF.

The AFM uses a peer group to compare the overall cost of this fund. The peer group has been selected for cost comparison purposes because the asset parameters for its constituent funds are broadly similar to those of this fund and they are of a similar size. The peer group may include funds managed under a range of strategies. Where the peer group includes funds managed wholly or materially through investment in passive assets, these will generally have lower costs than actively managed funds, which are typically more expensive. Similarly, where the peer group includes active funds managed wholly or materially through investment in other funds, these are typically more expensive than directly invested funds. In addition, performance related fees may be payable to the managers of some underlying funds.

Class/Peer group	OCF (%)
Thesis Lion Growth Acc	1.63
Thesis Lion Growth Inc	1.63
IA Mixed Investment 40-85% Shares average OCF	1.13
IA Mixed Investment 40-85% Shares highest OCF	2.80



## Comparable services

---

*How the charges compare to other comparable funds that the Investment Manager manages.*

The investment manager's charges for comparable services to other investors are in line with the charges borne by this fund.

---



## Classes of units

---

*Whether investors are invested in a unit class with higher charges than another class which is available. We have also considered different fees which apply to retail and institutional investors where institutional investors are subject to a significantly higher minimum investment.*

The fund only has one class of units in issue.

---



## Overall Assessment of Value

---

Following our assessment, the board of Thesis Unit Trust Management Limited has concluded that the fund has delivered value to investors but action is needed to improve value delivery.

We will continue to monitor the fund's performance and costs.